



CAMPBELL  
STEPHENSON LLP

11401 Century Oaks Terrace  
Building H, Suite 250  
Austin, Texas 78758  
T: 512-439-5080  
F: 512-439-5099

## FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

|        |                            |        |                           |
|--------|----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|
| To:    | Examiner Michael D. Pham   | From:  | Ronald Liu                |
| Fax:   | (571) 273-3924             | Date:  | July 9, 2009              |
| Phone: | (571) 272-3924             | Pages: | 2 (including cover sheet) |
| Re:    | Application No. 10/737,281 |        |                           |

### Message:

Please see the attached Applicant Initiated Interview Request. If there are any questions, please feel free to contact me at (512) 439-5086

If you do not receive all pages, please call (512) 439-5080

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

### Applicant Initiated Interview Request

Application No. 10/737,281

First Named Applicant: Gaohong Wei

Art Unit: 2167

Examiner: Michael D. Pham

Status: Final Office Action mailed on 04/15/09

Tentative Participants: Michael Pham, Ronald Liu

Proposed Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 at 2 PM EDT

Type of Interview Requested: Telephonic

Exhibits to be shown: No

### Issues to be Discussed

| Issues | Claims / Figs | Prior Art                | Discussed                | Agreed                   | Not Agreed               |
|--------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1)    | Claim 1       | Murley, in view of Verma | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2)    |               |                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

#### Brief Description of Discussion Topics:

Claim 1 recites determining a speculative structure of a database (where the actual structure of the database is unknown when the determining is performed), identifying a plurality of components using the speculative structure of the database, and generating a point-in-time image of a component using a data management resource.


Regarding the 112, first rejection, Applicants would like to discuss with the Examiner the support found in the specification for the "actual structure" element, as amended in the prior office action response.

Also, Applicants would like to discuss the Examiner's interpretation of Murley and Verma. Finally, Applicants would like to discuss possible amendments (if needed) to progress prosecution towards allowance.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

**NOTE:** This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

  
 Ronald Liu, Reg. No 64,170  
 Attorney for Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner/SPE Signature